PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Date of m (day/mon day/mon day/mon day/mon PCT/ISA/220 International application No. PCT/IL2006/001487 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G01N33/50 C12M3/00 B01L3/00 Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTD. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following item Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to now Rev No. IV Lack of unity of invention	h/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) JRTHER ACTION graph 2 below						
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. PCT/IL2006/001487 International Fetent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC (INV. G01N33/50 C12M3/00 B01L3/00) Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTD. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. VI Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) wapplicability; citations and explanations support Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application of the International Preliminary Examining Author the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written of the principle of the provision of the administration of the liternational Preliminary Examining Author the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the liternational Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions will not be so considered.	Priority date (day/month/year)						
See parameteriational application No. PCT/L2006/001487 International filing date (day/monthly 26.12.2006 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC NV. G01N33/50 C12M3/00 B01L3/00 Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTD. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1 (a)(i) w applicability; citations and explanations support Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Author the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions will not be so considered.	graph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/IL2006/001487 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC NV. G01N33/50 C12M3/00 B01L3/00 Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTD. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1 (a)(i) w applicability; citations and explanations support Box No. VII Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international applic FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Author the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written of the internation of the area constitions.							
Applicant SENG ENTERPRISES LTD. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to now Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) wapplicability; citations and explanations support Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Author the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written of							
I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to now □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1 (a)(i) we applicability; citations and explanations support □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application of th							
 ☑ Box No. II Priority ☑ Box No. III Priority ☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to now ☑ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) wapplicability; citations and explanations support ☑ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application ☑ FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Author the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written of the constant of the provided above, considered to be a written of the constant of the provided above, considered to be a written of the constant of the constan							
	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability ith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ing such statement ation s opinion will usually be considered to be a ty ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where PEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the of this International Searching Authority pinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ith amendments before the expiration of 3 months						
whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.)						



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentla age form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Patentla age form PCT/ISA/210 Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Tiede, Ralph

Telephone No. +31 70 340-1090



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2006/001487

_							
	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	□ a	translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the urposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).					
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type	e of material:					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:						
		on paper					
		in electronic form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in electronic form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.					
4.	4. Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2006/001487

	the three step and industrial							
	x No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial plicability							
The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of							
	the entire international application							
\boxtimes	☑ claims Nos. <u>32-36</u>							
be	because:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):							
	that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 32-36							
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:							
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.							
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.							
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 ter. 1(a) or (b).							
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.							
Ę	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
E	☐ See Supplemental Box for further details							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2006/001487

	Вох	No. IV	Lack of unity of i	nvention						
1.	☒	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:								
☐ paid additional fees										
	paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee									
			paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid							
	☐ not paid additional fees									
			•							
2.	2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.									
3.	3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is									
□ complied with □ not complied with for the following reasons:										
										see se
4.	Cor	rsequen	itly, this report has be	en estat	olished in r	espect of the follov	ving parts of the international application:			
	□ all parts.									
		the parts	s relating to claims N	os. <u>1-31,</u>	37 and 38	<u> </u>				
_	Box	(No. V	Reasoned staten	ent und	er Rule 43	Bbis.1(a)(i) with re	gard to novelty, inventive step or			
	ind	ustrial a	applicability; citatio	ns and e	xplanatio	ns supporting su	ch statement			
1.	Sta	tement								
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes:	Claims					
				No:	Claims	<u>1-31,37,38</u>				
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims					
				No:	Claims	<u>1-31,37,38</u>				
	Indu	ustrial a	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-31,37,38</u>				

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IL2006/001487

Thus, the application is split into 6 groups of (alleged) inventions: C)

Group I: claim 1-3, 37 and 38

A method for studying cells comprising seeding a structure with an index of refraction similar to that of water having a three-dimensional arrangement of interconnected fluid channels through said structure with at least one viable cell, immersing said structure in a medium and observing development of said at least one cell inside said structure, solving the problem of improved optical studying of cells

Group II: claim 4-31:

A device for the study of cells, comprising a structure with an index of refraction similar to that of water having a three dimensional arrangement of interconnected fluid channels through said structure, solving the problem to provide improved cell studying devices Group III: claim 32:

A method of making a structure of a device of claim 4 comprising providing and stacking a plurality of porous sheets of material having an index of refraction similar to that of water to make said structure, solving the problem to find alternative ways to manufacture said structures

Group IV: claim 33: A method of making a structure of a device of claim 4 comprising providing and convoluting at least one filament of material having an index of refraction similar to that of water to make said structure, solving the problem to find alternative ways to manufacture said structures

Group V: claim 34, 35: A method of making a structure of a device of claim 4 comprising providing and arranging at least one sheet of material having an index of refraction similar to that of water to make said structure, solving the problem to find alternative ways to manufacture said structures

Group VI: claim 36: A method of making a structure of a device of claim 4 comprising providing and aggregating particles of material having an index of refraction similar to that of water to provide a porous block and to make said structure, solving the problem to find alternative ways to manufacture said structures

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: WO 2005/103691 A (PAMGENE B V [NL]; INGHAM COLIN JOHN [NL]) 3